# Registered pharmacy inspection report

# Pharmacy Name: Well, 18-20 Main Street, BEITH, Ayrshire, KA15 2AD

Pharmacy reference: 1105863

Type of pharmacy: Community

Date of inspection: 25/10/2019

## **Pharmacy context**

This is a community pharmacy in the town of Beith, Ayrshire. It dispenses both NHS and private prescriptions and sells a range of over-the-counter medicines. The pharmacy team offers advice to people about minor illnesses and long-term conditions. And it offers services including a home delivery service, seasonal flu vaccinations the NHS chronic medicines service (CMS) and the pharmacy first service. It also supplies medicines in multi-compartmental compliance packs to people living in their own homes.

## **Overall inspection outcome**

✓ Standards met

Required Action: None

Follow this link to find out what the inspections possible outcomes mean

# Summary of notable practice for each principle

Principle	Principle finding	Exception standard reference	Notable practice	Why
1. Governance	Standards met	N/A	N/A	N/A
2. Staff	Standards met	N/A	N/A	N/A
3. Premises	Standards met	N/A	N/A	N/A
4. Services, including medicines management	Standards met	N/A	N/A	N/A
5. Equipment and facilities	Standards met	N/A	N/A	N/A

## Principle 1 - Governance Standards met

## **Summary findings**

The pharmacy identifies and manages many of the risks associated with the services it provides to people. And it has a set of up-to-date written procedures for the team members to follow to help them deliver the services safely. It keeps the records it must have by law. And it keeps people's private information secure. The team members discuss and record any mistakes that they make when dispensing. So, they can learn from each other. And they implement changes to minimise the risk of similar mistakes happening in the future. The team members know when and how to raise a concern to safeguard the welfare of vulnerable adults and children.

#### **Inspector's evidence**

The pharmacy had a large retail space which led to a large dispensary. The pharmacy counter prevented access from the retail area to the dispensary. The area was open plan and the pharmacist on duty used a dispensary bench that was adjacent to the retail area to complete final checks on prescriptions. So, she could over see any sales of medicines and listen to any conversations the pharmacy's team members were having with people who used the pharmacy. The pharmacy had a constant flow of people coming into the pharmacy and waiting for their prescriptions to be dispensed.

The pharmacy had a set of up-to-date standard operating procedures (SOPs). And these were held electronically. They included ones for responsible pharmacist (RP) regulations and dispensing. The superintendent pharmacist's team reviewed each SOP every two years on a monthly rolling cycle. This ensured that they were up-to-date. The pharmacy defined the roles of the pharmacy team members in each SOP. They described how they would ask the pharmacist if there was a task they were unsure about or felt unable to deal with. The superintendent pharmacist's team sent new and updated SOPs to the team via the eExpert training programme. The team members completed a short quiz once they had read the SOP. They needed to pass the quiz to be signed off as having read and understood its contents. A team member demonstrated she had completed 92% of the mandatory modules.

The pharmacy recorded near miss errors made while dispensing onto the pharmacy's electronic reporting system called Datix. The errors were typically spotted by the person completing the final check. The team member who made the error was responsible for entering the details of the error. The team members explained this helped them take ownership and responsibility for their errors and helped with their learning. The details recorded included the time and date of the error and the reason the error might have happened. For example, if they were distracted by a phone call. The pharmacy completed an analysis of the errors that had been recorded each month. This was to identify any trends or patterns. And the findings were discussed with the team when most of the team members were working. Those team members who were not working, were informed of the findings when they next attended for work. The pharmacist explained she had noticed a series of selection errors with medicines that looked or sounded similar, known as LASA medicines. The team members discussed the errors in a monthly meeting and considered the steps they could take to prevent similar errors happening again. For example, they attached hazard warning stickers to shelf edges where the pharmacy stored amlodipine and amiloride. This reminded them of the potential for mistakes with these medicines. The team members were also encouraged to look for LASA medicines when they were putting medicines away on the shelves following a delivery of stock. And if they noticed any, they asked team members to stop what they were doing, so they could make each other aware of the findings. The pharmacy used

the Datix system to record details of dispensing errors which had reached the patient. The pharmacy had recently supplied a person with the incorrect form of co-codamol (caplets instead of capsules). The reason for the error was because the two different forms of the medicine were stored next to each other in the dispensary. To prevent the error happening again, the team separated the two forms away from each other.

The pharmacy advertised how people could make comments, suggestions and complaints, through a notice displayed in the retail area. It collected feedback from people through verbal conversations and mystery shopper visits. The team members could not give any examples of changes made to improve services following any feedback they had received from people.

The pharmacy had up-to-date professional indemnity insurance. The responsible pharmacist notice displayed the name and registration number of the responsible pharmacist on duty. Entries in the responsible pharmacist record complied with legal requirements. The pharmacy kept complete records of private prescription and emergency supplies. The pharmacy kept controlled drugs (CDs) registers. And they were completed correctly. The pharmacy team checked the running balances against physical stock every week. A physical balance check of Durogesic 25mcg patches matched the balance in the register. The pharmacy kept complete records of CDs returned by people to the pharmacy. The pharmacy held certificates of conformity for unlicensed medicines and they were completed in line with the requirements of the Medicines & Healthcare products Regulatory Agency (MHRA).

The team members were aware of the need to keep people's personal information confidential. And they were seen offering the use of the consultation room to people to discuss their health in private. They had all undertaken general data protection regulation (GDPR) training. The team held records containing personal identifiable information in areas of the pharmacy that only the team members could access. A privacy policy was on display for people to read in the retail area. Confidential waste was placed into a separate bin to avoid a mix up with general waste. The confidential waste was periodically destroyed via a third-party contractor.

The team members had up-to-date guidance on safeguarding the welfare of vulnerable adults and children available to them. The pharmacist was PVG registered. The team members gave several examples of symptoms that would raise their concerns in both children and vulnerable adults. A team member explained how she would discuss her concerns with the pharmacist on duty, at the earliest opportunity. The pharmacy had a chaperone policy on display in the retail area.

## Principle 2 - Staffing ✓ Standards met

#### **Summary findings**

The pharmacy team members have the appropriate qualifications and skills to provide the pharmacy's services safely and effectively. They work well together to manage their workload and to ensure people receive a high-quality service. The pharmacy team members complete training to keep their knowledge and skills up to date. And they are provided with annual appraisals to discuss their performance and training needs. They can make suggestions to improve the pharmacy's services. And they feel comfortable to raise professional concerns when necessary.

#### **Inspector's evidence**

At the time of the inspection the regular pharmacist was supported by a full-time accuracy checking technician, a full-time pharmacy technician, a full-time pre-registration trainee pharmacist and two part-time pharmacy assistants. The pharmacist hours were split between the pharmacist present during the inspection and another pharmacist. A part-time pharmacy assistant and a part-time pharmacy technician were not present during the inspection. The team was experienced and knew many of the people who used the pharmacy. Three of the team members had been working at the pharmacy for over ten years. The pharmacy organised the team rotas in advance to ensure enough support was available during the pharmacy's busiest times. It had recently revised the staffing profile and there had been a reduction in hours. The team members had found the changes challenging but were supporting each other well to manage the workload. The pre-registration pharmacist had helped cover the reduction in hours and some staff absences. On the day of the inspection the pharmacy had suffered from a problem with the computer systems. The team managed the problem by prioritising the more urgent tasks such as dispensing prescriptions for people who were waiting or calling back.

The team members were able to access the online training system, eExpert, to help them keep their knowledge and skills up to date. They received training modules to complete every month. Many of the modules were mandatory to complete. The team members were also able to voluntarily choose a module if they felt the need to learn about a specific healthcare related topic, or needed help carrying out a certain process. The team members did not receive set time during the day to allow them to complete the modules. A team member said she completed some training when the pharmacy was quiet but this was rare and so she completed most of the modules in her own time, without any distractions. Each team member had completed over 90% of the modules that were mandatory. The pre-registration trainee received four hours of protected training time each week. She used the time to ask questions of her colleagues and study various healthcare topics. During the inspection she had taken a person in the consultation room to check their blood pressure as they were complaining of light-headedness. She explained she wanted to increase the number of private consultations she undertook to help her improve her confidence. And she was well supported by the team to help her achieve her goal. The pharmacy had an annual appraisal process. The appraisals were an opportunity for the team members to discuss what parts of their roles they felt they enjoyed and which parts they felt they wanted to improve. They could give feedback on how to improve the pharmacy's services. A team member had recently decided to enrol herself on a language course to enable her to speak to people who used the pharmacy in various languages.

The team attended ad-hoc, informal meetings and discussed topics such as company news, targets and patient safety, when the pharmacy was quiet. If a team member was not present during the

discussions, they were updated the next time they attended for work. The team members felt comfortable to give feedback or raise concerns with the regular pharmacists or the pharmacy's regional development manager, to help improve the pharmacy's services. The pharmacy had a whistleblowing policy. The team was set various targets to achieve. These included the number of prescription items they dispensed and the number of services they provided. The team members felt the targets did not impact their ability to make professional judgements.

## Principle 3 - Premises Standards met

## **Summary findings**

The pharmacy is kept secure and is well maintained. The premises are suitable for the services the pharmacy provides. It has a sound-proofed room where people can have private conversations with the pharmacy's team members.

#### **Inspector's evidence**

The pharmacy was clean, tidy and professional in appearance. The building was easily identifiable as a pharmacy from the outside. The dispensary had two main sections. The smaller section was at the rear of the dispensary and it was used to organise the dispensing of the multi-compartmental compliance packs. The dispensary was generally tidy and well organised during the inspections. Floor spaces were kept clear to minimise the risk of trips and falls. There was a fire exit which led to the rear of the building. The door was kept closed to prevent unauthorised access.

There was a clean, well-maintained sink in the dispensary for medicines preparation and staff use. There was a WC which had a sink with hot and cold running water and other facilities for hand washing. There was a sink in the staff area used for drink and food preparation.

There was a good-sized, soundproofed consultation room at the side of the retail area. The room was smart and professional in appearance and was signposted by a sign on the door. It was kept locked when it was not in use to prevent the risk of any unauthorised access. It contained two seats and had a sink. The temperature was comfortable throughout the inspection. Lighting was bright throughout the premises.

## Principle 4 - Services Standards met

## **Summary findings**

The pharmacy's services are easily accessible to people. The team members take steps to identify people taking high-risk medicines. And, they provide these people with advice to help them take these medicines safely. The pharmacy provides medicines to some people in multi-compartmental compliance packs to help them take them correctly. And it manages the risks associated with the service appropriately. The pharmacy sources its medicines from licenced suppliers. And it stores and manages its medicines appropriately.

#### **Inspector's evidence**

The pharmacy had level access from the street to an automatic entrance door. And so, people with prams and wheelchairs could enter the pharmacy unaided. The pharmacy could supply people with large print dispensing labels if needed. A hearing loop to help people with a hearing impairment was available in the consultation room. The pharmacy advertised its services and opening hours in the main window. It had a small healthy living zone close to the seating area in the retail space. And there were several healthcare related leaflets available for people to select and take away with them.

The team members regularly used various stickers that they could use as an alert before they handed out medicines to people. For example, to highlight if a person was eligible for the chronic medicines service. The team members signed the dispensing labels to indicate who had dispensed and checked the medication. And so, a robust audit trail was in place. Baskets were available to hold prescriptions and medicines. This helped the team stop people's prescriptions from getting mixed up. The team had a robust process to highlight the expiry date of CD prescriptions awaiting collection in the retrieval area. Owing slips were given to people on occasions when the pharmacy could not supply the full quantity prescribed. One slip was given to the person. And one kept with the original prescription for reference when dispensing and checking the remaining quantity. The team attempted to complete the owing the next day. The pharmacy kept records of the delivery of medicines from the pharmacy to people. The records included a signature of receipt. And so, an there was an audit trail that could be used to solve any queries. A note was posted to people when a delivery could not be completed. The note advised them to contact the pharmacy.

The pharmacy had recently introduced a new system for dispensing many of the prescriptions it received, at the company's offsite dispensing hub. The system was designed to reduce the team's dispensing workload and allow the team members more time to offer other services. It asked each person to complete a consent form if their prescription was eligible to be dispensed at the hub. Each team member had received comprehensive training before the process went live. The team firstly assessed whether a prescription was suitable to be dispensed at the hub. Any prescriptions that were for CDs or fridge items were not sent. The team also avoided sending prescriptions for more urgent items such as antibiotics. Once it was established that a prescription was suitable to be sent to the hub, the data was entered. And then the pharmacist completed an accuracy and clinical check. Only the pharmacist, using their personal smart card and password, was able to perform the clinical and accuracy check and release prescriptions to the hub. The details of the prescription were then sent electronically to the hub. And the prescription was dispensed via dispensing robots. It took around three days for prescriptions to be processed and the medicines to be received from the hub. The team marked all prescriptions that were sent to the hub and stored them separately to prevent them being

mixed up with other prescriptions. The pharmacy received the medicines that had been dispensed at the hub in sealed bags. The bags were then coupled with the relevant prescription. And then scanned on the shelves in the prescription retrieval area, ready for collection. The pharmacy had completed a quality assurance audit of the first 300 prescriptions that were dispensed and returned to the pharmacy via the hub. The pharmacist had physically opened the sealed bags and completed a check of all the medicines. The pharmacy did not identify any errors.

The pharmacy supplied medicines in multi-compartmental compliance packs for around 60 people living in their own homes. And it supplied the packs to people on either a weekly or monthly basis. The team was responsible for ordering people's prescriptions. And this was done around a week in advance to give the team members the time to resolve any queries, such as missing items or changes in doses, and to dispense the medication. They dispensed the medication on a bench furthest away from the retail area. This was to minimise distractions. The pharmacy stored each person's documents, for example, the master sheets and prescriptions in individual boxes. The team members managed the workload over a four-week cycle to spread the work out evenly. And they completed the dispensing of the packs around a week before the pack was due to be supplied. The team members used the master sheets to check off prescriptions and confirm they were accurate. The sheets detailed each medicine the person was regularly prescribed. And the time they were to take it. The team also kept details of any changes to people's medicines. And it kept records of who had authorised the change, for example, the person's GP. The packs were supplied with information which listed the medicines in the packs and the directions. And information to help people visually identify them. For example, the colour or shape of the tablet or capsule. The pharmacy routinely provided patient information leaflets with the packs.

The pharmacy dispensed high-risk medicines for people such as warfarin. The team members used alert stickers attached to people's medication bags to remind them that the bag contained a high-risk medicine. The pharmacist gave the person collecting the medicine additional advice if there was a need to do so. The pharmacist was seen reminding a person prescribed warfarin of the importance of having regular blood tests. The team members were aware of the pregnancy prevention programme for people who were prescribed valproate and of the risks. They demonstrated the advice they would give people in a hypothetical situation. The team had access to literature about the programme that they could provide to people to help them take their medicines safely. The team had completed a check to see if any of its regular patients were prescribed valproate. And met the requirements of the programme. No-one had been identified.

The pharmacy provided a service called pharmacy first. The service allowed the pharmacy to supply medicines, normally only available with a prescription, to people for various conditions. For example, trimethoprim for urinary tract infections and Fucidin cream for impetigo. The pharmacists had completed the required training and had up-to-date service specifications available to them. Many of the local health centres were aware of the service and referred many people to the pharmacy. The pharmacist went thought a comprehensive conversation with people who wanted to use the service to establish their symptoms and make a diagnosis. The pharmacy kept records of each consultation and supply.

Pharmacy (P) medicines were stored behind the pharmacy counter. So, the pharmacist could supervise sales appropriately. The pharmacy stored its medicines in the dispensary tidily. Every three months, the team members checked the expiry dates of its medicines to make sure none had expired. No out-of-date medicines were found after a random check. And the team members used alert stickers to help identify medicines that were expiring within the next twelve months. They recorded the date liquid medicines were opened on the pack. So, they could check they were in date and safe to supply. The pharmacy had a robust procedure in place to appropriately store and then destroy medicines that had

been returned by people. And the team had access to CD destruction kits.

The team was not currently scanning products or undertaking manual checks of tamper evident seals on packs, as required under the Falsified Medicines Directive (FMD). The team had received some training on how to follow the directive and had the correct type of scanners. The team was unsure of when they were to start following the directive. Drug alerts were received via email to the pharmacy and actioned. The alerts were printed and stored in a folder. And the team kept a record of the action it had taken. The pharmacy checked and recorded the fridge temperature ranges every day. And a sample checked were within the correct ranges. But the fridge was full and the medicines inside were not organised tidily. Several medicines were not stored in alphabetical order. The pharmacy had three CD cabinets in place. And they were secured and of an appropriate size. The medicines inside were well organised.

## Principle 5 - Equipment and facilities Standards met

## **Summary findings**

The pharmacy's equipment is well maintained and appropriate for the services it provides. The pharmacy uses its equipment to protect people's confidentiality.

#### **Inspector's evidence**

The pharmacy had copies of the BNF and the BNF for children for the team to use. And the team had access to the internet as an additional resource. The pharmacy used a range of CE quality marked measuring cylinders. The team members used tweezers and rollers to help dispense multi-compartmental compliance packs. Prescription medication waiting to be collected was stored in a way that prevented people's confidential information being seen by members of the public. And computer screens were positioned to ensure confidential information wasn't seen by unauthorised people. The computers were password protected to prevent any unauthorised access. The pharmacy had cordless phones, so the team members could have conversations with people in private.

## What do the summary findings for each principle mean?

Finding	Meaning	
Excellent practice	The pharmacy demonstrates innovation in the way it delivers pharmacy services which benefit the health needs of the local community, as well as performing well against the standards.	
✓ Good practice	The pharmacy performs well against most of the standards and can demonstrate positive outcomes for patients from the way it delivers pharmacy services.	
✓ Standards met	The pharmacy meets all the standards.	
Standards not all met	The pharmacy has not met one or more standards.	