# General Pharmaceutical Council

# Registered pharmacy inspection report

Pharmacy Name: Crescent Pharmacy, 2 Fleming Crescent,

HERTFORD, Hertfordshire, SG14 2DJ

Pharmacy reference: 1101111

Type of pharmacy: Community

Date of inspection: 22/02/2023

## **Pharmacy context**

This NHS community pharmacy is in a row of shops in a residential area of the town. There is a doctors' surgery nearby. It opens five and a half days a week. It sells medicines over the counter. It dispenses both NHS and private prescriptions. And it delivers medicines to people who have difficulty in leaving their homes. The pharmacy supplies multi-compartment compliance packs to people who need help managing their medicines. It delivers the Community Pharmacist Consultation Scheme (CPCS) to help people who have a minor illness or need an urgent supply of a medicine. And people can get their flu vaccination at the pharmacy too. The team offers the NHS blood pressure and new medicines services and is beginning an earwax removal service. The pharmacy supplied COVID-19 vaccinations when available.

## Overall inspection outcome

✓ Standards met

Required Action: None

Follow this link to find out what the inspections possible outcomes mean

# Summary of notable practice for each principle

Principle	Principle finding	Exception standard reference	Notable practice	Why
1. Governance	Standards met	N/A	N/A	N/A
2. Staff	Standards met	N/A	N/A	N/A
3. Premises	Standards met	N/A	N/A	N/A
4. Services, including medicines management	Standards met	N/A	N/A	N/A
5. Equipment and facilities	Standards met	N/A	N/A	N/A

## Principle 1 - Governance ✓ Standards met

#### **Summary findings**

The pharmacy identifies and manages the risks associated with its services to help provide them safely. It records and reviews any mistakes that happen during the dispensing process. It uses this information to help make its services safer and reduce any future risk. It protects people's personal information well and team members understand their role in protecting vulnerable people.

#### Inspector's evidence

The pharmacy had standard operating procedures (SOPs) available. Team members had signed to say that they had read them. SOPs were regularly reviewed and updated by the team. Team members were allocated SOPs depending on their job roles. The pharmacy recorded dispensing mistakes which were identified before the medicine was handed out (near misses) and those where the medicine was handed to a person (dispensing errors). Near misses were logged on a sheet displayed in the dispensary. The team held a monthly meeting to discuss learnings from these near misses. Warning labels were used on the shelves to highlight picking errors made in the past. Dispensing errors were investigated and reported on the national reporting system.

The correct responsible pharmacist (RP) notice was displayed. The team members were aware of the tasks that could and could not be carried out in the absence of the RP. The pharmacy had current professional indemnity insurance. It had a complaints procedure, and it displayed a notice informing people about the procedure.

Records about private prescription, emergency supplies, unlicensed medicines dispensed, controlled drug (CD) registers and RP records were generally well maintained. Some prescriber details in private prescription records were not accurate, and the pharmacist said that he would remind all the staff how to record the information accurately. CD registers were kept electronically. CD balance checks were carried out regularly.

Assembled prescriptions were stored behind the counter and people's private information was not visible to others using the pharmacy. The pharmacy had an information governance policy available. Relevant team members who accessed NHS systems had smartcards. Pharmacists had access to Summary Care Records (SCR); consent to access these was gained verbally. Confidential waste was kept in a designated bag and collected by a contractor for secure destruction. All team members had also completed online training about confidentiality.

Team members had completed safeguarding training. Details were available for the local safeguarding boards. The company also had a safeguarding officer at head office who team members could contact. There was also a signposting file, with up-to-date information about local resources, including dealing with people who were a suicide risk.

# Principle 2 - Staffing ✓ Standards met

## **Summary findings**

The pharmacy has enough team members for the services it provides. And the pharmacy supports its team members by giving them time to do ongoing training to help keep their knowledge and skills up to date. They do the right training for their roles. And they work effectively together and are supportive of one another.

#### Inspector's evidence

At the time of the inspection, the pharmacy team comprised of the superintendent pharmacist (SI), and three trained dispensers. One person was on the counter, taking in and handing out prescriptions, whilst another checked and bagged dispensed prescriptions which had already been checked by the pharmacist. The third, a full-time dispenser, was dispensing prescriptions. Team members worked well together and communicated effectively to ensure that tasks were prioritised, and the workload was well managed. Team members counselled people about the use of over-the-counter medicines and asked appropriate questions before recommending treatment.

The staff said that they were able to make suggestions about how the pharmacy was run. Team members felt able to feedback concerns and suggestions. Recently, they had suggested changing the way some medicines were stored and this had reduced picking errors. Individual performance and development was monitored by the SI.

Appraisal meetings were held annually with an interim review. Team members were also given on-the-spot feedback. Team members had personal access to a training site which helped them keep up to date. Online eLearning was also completed on the 'Mediapharm' site which had a range of mandatory modules (such as safeguarding and risk management) and other optional ones. Team members said there was a monthly module to complete, and they were set deadlines by when certain modules needed to be done.

## Principle 3 - Premises ✓ Standards met

#### **Summary findings**

The pharmacy's premises are clean, secure and provide an appropriate environment to deliver its services. People can have a conversation with a team member in a private area.

## Inspector's evidence

The pharmacy premises were modern, large, bright, clean, and organised. The counter was behind clear plastic screens. In the shop, there was adequate waiting space. The dispensary was spacious; there was ample workspace which was clutter-free and clean. Workbenches were also allocated for certain tasks. A sink was available for preparing medicines. A spacious consultation room was available; it was also used for storing some medicines. The room allowed a conversation at a normal level of volume to take place inside without being overheard. The premises were kept secure from unauthorised access. The room temperature and lighting were adequate for the provision of pharmacy services.

## Principle 4 - Services ✓ Standards met

#### **Summary findings**

The pharmacy generally provides its services safely. It obtains its medicines from reputable sources, and it manages them appropriately so that they are safe for people to use. It takes the right action in response to safety alerts so that people get medicines and medical devices that are safe to use. People receiving medicines which are higher risk do not consistently get counselled as to their use. So they may not have all the information they need to take their medicines safely.

### Inspector's evidence

Access to the pharmacy was level from the pavement. Team members used the internet to find details about other local services to help people. The pharmacy had an established workflow. Dispensed and checked-by boxes on labels were initialled by members of the team in different coloured pens to create an audit trail for the dispensing and checking processes as well as who had bagged the prescription. The pharmacy had a delivery driver; delivery records were kept. In the event that a person was not home, a note was left by the driver and the medicines were returned to the pharmacy.

Warning stickers were attached to some of the prescriptions by the RP during the checking process. Stickers were used if a person needed to be counselled by a pharmacist or if there was a fridge line or CD dispensed. However, their use was not consistent, and some prescriptions which should have had applicable stickers on did not. The RP and team members were aware of the guidance for dispensing sodium valproate. Where possible, sodium valproate was dispensed in its original packaging. Placement of the dispensing label on the container so as not to obscure important information was discussed with the team. The pharmacy did not dispense warfarin often. But, in the event that someone presented to collect a prescription for warfarin, they were asked for their yellow book. And it was confirmed that the person was having their INR checked routinely. Additional checks were carried out when people collected medicines which required ongoing monitoring, when the prescription was appropriately stickered. There were leaflets to help these people be more informed about their medicines.

Some people's medicines were supplied in multi-compartment compliance packs. The pharmacy ordered prescriptions on behalf of people for this service. To help organise and manage the service people were allocated to different weeks. Each week was colour-coded. Team members contacted the surgery with any queries if the GP had not informed them about prescription changes. Any notes or communication was also recorded on people's individual record. Clinical checks were completed by the pharmacist. A backing sheet was then prepared. Assembled packs were labelled with product descriptions and mandatory warnings. And patient information leaflets (PILs) were supplied, meaning that people could easily access the information provided by the manufacturer about their medicines.

Medicines were obtained from licensed wholesalers. Fridge temperatures were monitored daily and recorded; the records showed these were within the required range for storing temperature-sensitive medicines. CDs were held securely. Expiry date checks were carried out by one of the dispensers. Short-dated stock was highlighted with a sticker. A date-checking matrix was in place. There were no date-expired medicines found on the shelves checked. Out-of-date and other waste medicines were separated from stock and then collected by licensed waste collectors.

Drug recalls were received on the pharmacy email. The team printed these and checked against stock. If the affected batches were found these were quarantined and action was taken.

## Principle 5 - Equipment and facilities ✓ Standards met

#### **Summary findings**

The pharmacy has the equipment and facilities it needs to provide its services safely. And it keeps them clean. The team uses its facilities and equipment to keep people's private information safe.

## Inspector's evidence

There were various sizes of glass, crown-stamped measures, with separate ones labelled for specific use, reducing the risk of cross-contamination. Equipment was clean and ready for use. A separate tablet-counting triangle was used for cytotoxic medicines to avoid contamination. Two fridges of adequate size were available. Up-to-date reference sources were available including access to the internet. The pharmacy's computers were password protected and screens faced away from people using the pharmacy. Electrical equipment was regularly tested. Stickers were affixed to various electronic equipment and displayed the next date of testing.

## What do the summary findings for each principle mean?

Finding	Meaning	
✓ Excellent practice	The pharmacy demonstrates innovation in the way it delivers pharmacy services which benefit the health needs of the local community, as well as performing well against the standards.	
✓ Good practice	The pharmacy performs well against most of the standards and can demonstrate positive outcomes for patients from the way it delivers pharmacy services.	
✓ Standards met	The pharmacy meets all the standards.	
Standards not all met	The pharmacy has not met one or more standards.	