General Pharmaceutical Council

Registered pharmacy inspection report

Pharmacy Name: Rowlands Pharmacy, 265 Pilch Lane, Huyton,

LIVERPOOL, Merseyside, L14 0JF

Pharmacy reference: 1091309

Type of pharmacy: Community

Date of inspection: 21/01/2020

Pharmacy context

The pharmacy is situated amongst other retail shops, in a residential area of Huyton, Liverpool. The pharmacy premises are easily accessible for people, with adequate space in the retail area. The pharmacy sells a range of over-the-counter medicines and dispenses both private and NHS prescriptions. It has a consultation room available for private conversations. And it supplies medication in multi-compartment compliance aids for some people, to help them take the medicines at the right time. Some prescriptions are dispensed off-site at a hub pharmacy.

Overall inspection outcome

✓ Standards met

Required Action: None

Follow this link to find out what the inspections possible outcomes mean

Summary of notable practice for each principle

Principle	Principle finding	Exception standard reference	Notable practice	Why
1. Governance	Standards met	1.7	Good practice	All members of the pharmacy team receive regular training and assessment to make sure they know how to protect confidential information.
2. Staff	Standards met	2.2	Good practice	Members of the pharmacy team have protected time so that they can continue learning while they are at work.
3. Premises	Standards met	N/A	N/A	N/A
4. Services, including medicines management	Standards met	N/A	N/A	N/A
5. Equipment and facilities	Standards met	N/A	N/A	N/A

Principle 1 - Governance ✓ Standards met

Summary findings

The pharmacy manages the risks associated with its services and it protects peoples' information. Members of the pharmacy team work to professional standards and are clear about their roles and responsibilities. They record things that go wrong, so that they can learn from them. But they do not always review all of their mistakes, so they may miss some opportunities to improve.

Inspector's evidence

There were up to date standard operating procedures (SOPs) for the services provided, with sign off records showing that members of the pharmacy team had read and accepted them. Roles and responsibilities of staff were set out in SOPs. A member of the pharmacy team was able to clearly describe her duties. Dispensing errors were reported on the computer system and learning points were included. Near miss incidents were recorded on a log and were discussed with the pharmacy team member at the time, but the records were not reviewed for trends and patterns. Amlodipine stock and amitriptyline stock had been separated on a dispensary shelf because of previous near miss incidents with these medicines.

A complaints procedure was in place. The pharmacist explained that he aimed to resolve complaints in the pharmacy at the time they arose, but he would refer to the pharmacy manager or head office if necessary. A customer satisfaction survey was carried out annually. Separately to the customer satisfaction survey some patients had verbally provided negative feedback about stock availability. The pharmacist explained that other branches were contacted, different wholesalers were contacted and if necessary, the GP was asked to consider prescribing an alternative medicine when there were manufacturing problems with stock.

The company had professional indemnity insurance in place. The correct responsible pharmacist notice was displayed conspicuously. The responsible pharmacist (RP) record, private prescription record, emergency supply record, unlicensed specials record, and the CD register were in order. CD running balances were kept and audited regularly. Patient returned CDs were recorded appropriately.

Confidential waste was placed in a designated bag and collected by an authorised carrier. Confidential information was kept out of sight of the public. The pharmacy team completed information governance training when they commenced their employment and then received refresher training and assessment on an annual basis. Computers were all password protected and faced away from the customer. Assembled prescriptions awaiting collection were being stored in a manner that protected patient information from being visible. A practice leaflet was present and explained how the pharmacy intended to use patient's personal data.

The pharmacy team had read the safeguarding policy and the pharmacist had completed level 2 safeguarding training. There were details of local safeguarding contacts available.

Principle 2 - Staffing ✓ Standards met

Summary findings

The pharmacy has enough team members to manage its workload safely. The team members are well trained and work effectively together. They are comfortable about providing feedback to their manager. The pharmacy enables its team members to act on their own initiative and use their professional judgement, to the benefit of people who use the pharmacy's services.

Inspector's evidence

There was a locum pharmacist, an accuracy checking pharmacy technician (ACPT) who was the acting pharmacy manager and two dispensers on duty. The pharmacy team worked well together and managed the workload adequately. The pharmacy team participated in ongoing training using the e-learning platform "moodles". The team members had completed a training module on complaint handling in December 2019 and they said that specific time to complete training modules in work was provided by the pharmacy manager. A member of the pharmacy team logged into her e-learning account and demonstrated that she was up to date with training.

The pharmacy team were aware of a whistle blowing policy in place and knew how to report concerns about a member of the team if needed. Details outlining the policy were available for the team to refer to. Some of the pharmacy team members spoken to had received an appraisal with the pharmacy manager or Regional Leader in the last year. The pharmacy team members said that the pharmacy manager was very approachable, supportive and they were more than happy to ask her or the pharmacist questions when needed.

A member of the pharmacy team covering the medicines counter was clear about her role. She knew what questions to ask when making a sale and when to refer the patient to a pharmacist. She was clear which medicines could be sold in the presence and absence of a pharmacist and was clear what action to take if she suspected a customer might be abusing medicines such as co-codamol which she would refer to the pharmacist for advice. The pharmacist said that in his role as a locum there were no formal targets or incentives set.

Principle 3 - Premises Standards met

Summary findings

The pharmacy is clean and tidy. It is a suitable place to provide healthcare. It has a consultation room so that people can have a conversation in private.

Inspector's evidence

The pharmacy was clean and tidy. It had a waiting area. The temperature in the pharmacy was controlled by heating units. Lighting was adequate. The pharmacy team cleaned the floor, dispensing benches and sinks regularly, and a record was kept.

The pharmacy premises were maintained and in an adequate state of repair. Maintenance problems were reported to a facilities management company and dealt with. The pharmacy team facilities included a microwave, kettle, toaster and fridge, WC with wash hand basin and antibacterial hand wash. There was a consultation room available which was uncluttered and clean in appearance. This was kept locked until access was required.

Principle 4 - Services Standards met

Summary findings

The pharmacy's services are accessible to most people and they are managed, so people receive their medicines safely. The pharmacy takes extra care when supplying some higher-risk medicines. It sources and stores medicines safely and carries out some checks to help make sure that medicines are in good condition and suitable to supply.

Inspector's evidence

The pharmacy, consultation room and pharmacy counter were accessible to all, including patients with mobility difficulties and wheelchairs. There was a selection of healthcare leaflets in the retail area. The opening hours were displayed.

The pharmacy had a prescription retrieval area where assembled prescriptions awaiting collection were stored tidily on hanging rails. Schedule 2 CD prescriptions were highlighted with a sticker attached to the assembled prescription bag of to act as a prompt for team members to check the date on the prescription when handing out. Some prescriptions containing schedule 3 or 4 CDs that were awaiting collection were highlighted with "CD" written on the prescription. But an assembled prescription awaiting collection for tramadol had not been highlighted, which may increase the possibility of supplying a CD on a prescription that had expired.

Prescriptions for warfarin, methotrexate and lithium were highlighted with a sticker attached to the assembled prescription bag. This was to enable the pharmacist to provide the appropriate counselling when handing out the prescription. The pharmacy manager explained that information obtained from people who were prescribed high risk medicines, such as the latest INR results for those prescribed warfarin, was not currently added to the computer patient medication record (PMR). The pharmacy team was aware of the risks associated with supplying valproate. An audit of valproate had been carried out and no people who met the risk criteria had been identified. Patient information resources for the supply of valproate were available.

The work flow in the pharmacy was organised into separate areas with dispensing bench space and designated checking areas for the pharmacist and ACPT. Dispensed by and checked by boxes were initialled on the medication labels to provide an audit trail. Baskets were used to separate prescriptions, to reduce the risk of medicines becoming mixed up during dispensing.

The pharmacy had some people's repeat prescriptions being dispensed offsite at a hub, referred to by the pharmacy team as offsite dispensing solutions (ODS). The ACPT provided a detailed explanation and demonstration of how this service worked in practice. Once the prescription was received from the GP it was clinically checked by a pharmacist and accuracy checked by the ACPT. The accuracy check involved checking that the prescription data had been correctly inputted before it was sent to the hub. An audit trail for these tasks was kept on the computer. Each stage of the process was clearly defined, and the pharmacy team were able to track this. If a prescription request was sent to the hub from the pharmacy on a Tuesday it was assembled and received back in the pharmacy on a Thursday. The pharmacy team informed people of the timescales and turnaround times for their repeat prescriptions to be dispensed offsite and if necessary, prescriptions were dispensed locally by the branch. Once the assembled prescription was received back from the hub, it was matched up with the respective

prescription form and placed in the retrieval area. Fridge medicines and CDs were dispensed locally by the pharmacy and not the hub.

The pharmacy supplied medicines in multi-compartment compliance aids for some people. Patient information leaflets were included, and hospital discharge summaries were kept for the pharmacist to refer to. The assembled compliance aids awaiting collection had individual medicine descriptions added and patient information leaflets included for all medicines. A member of the pharmacy team explained how the prescription delivery service was carried out. People's signatures were routinely obtained for receipt of prescriptions delivered and if people were not at home when the delivery driver attempted to deliver, a note was left.

Stock medications were sourced from licensed wholesalers and specials from a licensed manufacturer. Stock was stored tidily. CDs were stored appropriately. Patient returned CDs were destroyed using denaturing kits. The pharmacy team were aware of the Falsified Medicines Directive (FMD). 2D barcode scanners had been installed at each computer terminal. The pharmacy had FMD computer software installed but the pharmacy team were not currently decommissioning FMD compliant prescription medicines. Therefore, the pharmacy was not complying with legal requirements.

There was a clean medicines fridge, equipped with a thermometer. The minimum and maximum temperature was being recorded daily and the record was complete. Fridge medicines were dispensed into clear bags and an assembled prescription for insulin was stored in the fridge in a clear bag. A member of the pharmacy team explained that the insulin box was shown to the patient upon collection to confirm it was what they were expecting.

The medication stock had been divided up into sections for date checking purposes, with different sections date checked periodically. Short dated medicines were highlighted. No out-of-date stock medicines were seen from a number that were sampled. The date of opening for liquid medicines with limited shelf life was added to the medicine bottles. Alerts and recalls were received via email from the NHS and MHRA. These were read, acted on by a member of the pharmacy team and a record was kept.

Principle 5 - Equipment and facilities Standards met

Summary findings

Members of the pharmacy team have the equipment and facilities they need for the services they provide and they are used in a way that protects privacy.

Inspector's evidence

The up-to-date BNF and BNFc were present. The pharmacy team used the internet to access websites for up to date information. For example, Medicines Complete. Any problems with equipment were reported to the head office. All electrical equipment appeared to be in working order. According to the PAT test stickers attached, the electrical equipment had been PAT tested in April 2019. The blood pressure monitor and weighing scales were in working order and were due to be recalibrated in April 2020.

There was a selection of liquid measures with British Standard and Crown marks. The pharmacy had equipment for counting loose tablets and capsules, including tablet triangles. Computers were password protected and screens were positioned so that they weren't visible from the public areas. A cordless telephone was present and it was used to hold private conversations with people when needed.

Finding	Meaning	
Excellent practice	The pharmacy demonstrates innovation in the way it delivers pharmacy services which benefit the health needs of the local community, as well as performing well against the standards.	
✓ Good practice	The pharmacy performs well against most of the standards and can demonstrate positive outcomes for patients from the way it delivers pharmacy services.	
✓ Standards met	The pharmacy meets all the standards.	
Standards not all met	The pharmacy has not met one or more standards.	

What do the summary findings for each principle mean?