General Pharmaceutical Council

Registered pharmacy inspection report

Pharmacy Name: Boots, 8-9 High Street, CHIPPENHAM, Wiltshire,

SN15 3ER

Pharmacy reference: 1038696

Type of pharmacy: Community

Date of inspection: 22/06/2022

Pharmacy context

This is a community pharmacy which is based on the high street in Chippenham. It serves its local population which is mixed in age range and background. The pharmacy opens six days a week. The pharmacy sells a range of over-the-counter medicines, dispenses NHS prescriptions, provides flu vaccinations and supplies medicines in multi-compartment compliance packs for people to use living in their own homes and in care homes.

Overall inspection outcome

✓ Standards met

Required Action: None

Follow this link to find out what the inspections possible outcomes mean

Summary of notable practice for each principle

Principle	Principle finding	Exception standard reference	Notable practice	Why
1. Governance	Standards met	1.8	Good practice	The pharmacy team have robust safeguarding procedures in place and use these to safeguard vulnerable adults and children.
2. Staff	Standards met	N/A	N/A	N/A
3. Premises	Standards met	N/A	N/A	N/A
4. Services, including medicines management	Standards met	N/A	N/A	N/A
5. Equipment and facilities	Standards met	N/A	N/A	N/A

Principle 1 - Governance ✓ Standards met

Summary findings

The pharmacy has satisfactory written procedures to help make sure the team works safely. Pharmacy team members have procedures in place to record and review mistakes when they happen. They use this information and learning to avoid future mistakes. Pharmacy team members are clear about their roles and responsibilities. The pharmacy asks its customers and staff for their views and uses this to help improve services. It manages and protects people's confidential information, and it tells people how their private information will be used. The pharmacy has appropriate insurance to protect people when things do go wrong.

Inspector's evidence

The pharmacy team had taken measures to mitigate the risk of transmission of COVID-19. Risk assessments had been completed assessing the impact of COVID-19 on the pharmacy premises and the individual pharmacy staff members. People were encouraged to wear face masks when attending the pharmacy.

Processes were in place for identifying and managing risks. Near misses were recorded and reviewed when they occurred and the pharmacist would discuss the incident with the members of the dispensary team. Examples of near miss error logs were seen from previous months displayed in the dispensary. Based on previous near misses involving quantity errors, the pharmacy team were re-checking quantities on some prescriptions to raise awareness of the issue.

Dispensing incidents were recorded electronically and this included a root cause analysis as part of the error investigation. Every month, a patient safety review was carried out by the pharmacist looking for trends as well as any changes that need to be made to reduce the risk of errors. Following one of these reviews, the team had a general discussion about the areas that they need to be aware of. These reviews were regularly completed by the pharmacy team and accessible in the dispensary.

There was an established workflow in the pharmacy where labelling, dispensing and checking activities were carried out at dedicated areas of the work benches. The team used stackable containers to hold dispensed medicines to prevent the mixing up different prescriptions. Dispensing labels were also seen to have been signed by two different people indicating who had dispensed and who had checked a prescription.

Standard operating procedures (SOPs) were in place for the services provided and those examined had been reviewed within the past two years. These were being transitioned to online SOPs at the time of the inspection and the pharmacy manager could track which staff had signed which SOP. There was a complaints procedure in place and staff were all clear on the processes they should follow if they received a complaint. The pharmacy team gathered feedback on a regular basis using service user questionnaire forms. A certificate of public liability and indemnity insurance was held electronically on the company's intranet and was valid and in date.

Records of controlled drugs (CD) and patient-returned CDs were seen to be retained. CD balances were checked weekly. A responsible pharmacist (RP) record was kept and the RP notice was displayed in pharmacy where people could see it. The fridge temperatures were recorded daily and were within the

two to eight degrees Celsius range. Date checking was carried out in a manner which meant the whole pharmacy was date checked four times in a year and records of this were seen to be completed appropriately. Short-dated stock was marked for with stickers. The private prescription records were retained and were seen to be in order. The specials records were retained but some entries omitted the prescriber's name and address details. The pharmacy team did not routinely give emergency supplies and so these records were not seen.

Confidential waste was collected in confidential waste bins and this was removed by the company for destruction. An information governance policy (IG) was in place and the healthcare team was required to complete an e-learning programme on IG. Staff had all completed a training package on the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR).

There was a safeguarding children and vulnerable adults e-learning program on the company training website which all the members of staff were required to complete. The pharmacist had completed the CPPE level 2 safeguarding package. Staff were aware of the signs to look out for that may indicate safeguarding concerns. Staff could locate local contact details to raise safeguarding concerns or ask for advice about them.

Principle 2 - Staffing ✓ Standards met

Summary findings

The pharmacy's team members have the appropriate skills, qualifications and training to deliver services safely and effectively. The pharmacy team members work well together. They are comfortable about providing feedback and raising concerns and are involved in improving pharmacy services.

Inspector's evidence

There was one pharmacist, two accuracy checking technicians and six dispensing assistants present during the inspection. The staff were observed to be working well together and providing support to one another when required. The pharmacy manager explained that the pharmacy team had a vacancy for a part time dispensing assistant.

Staff performance was monitored and formally reviewed annually. In these reviews, a development plan would be introduced to help further develop and train the members of staff. The staff reported that they had completed training online and had regular updates to their knowledge and understanding of the services and medicinal products provided. A dispensing assistant gave an example of having completed a module about dementia which had increased her awareness of safeguarding issues. Staff reported that they had not received regular protected training time recently as the pharmacy had been busy.

The pharmacy team reported that the pharmacy team would hold patient safety meetings monthly and advise all staff of the learnings. Professional standards documents were released by head office regularly and were read by staff.

Staff explained that they felt comfortable with raising any concerns they had with the pharmacy manager or their area manager. Staff were aware of the whistleblowing procedure on questioning. There were targets in place at the pharmacy, but the pharmacy team explained that they did not feel any pressure to deliver these targets and would never compromise their professional judgement to achieve them.

Principle 3 - Premises ✓ Standards met

Summary findings

The pharmacy provides a safe and appropriate environment for the provision of pharmacy services. The pharmacy team protects people's private information and the pharmacy is secure and protected from unauthorised access.

Inspector's evidence

The pharmacy was based at the rear of a large retail store. The dispensary area was separated from the retail area by a counter to allow for the preparation of prescriptions in private. Staff admitted that counter space was limited in the dispensary so they had to work efficiently to ensure that they utilised the space as best they could. But multiple containers of assembled medicines were stacked on top of each other which may present a risk of these containers falling. There was another dispensing room above the ground level of the store that was used to prepare multi-dose compliance aids to patients in their own homes and in care homes. There was a plastic screen separating the retail area from the dispensary. There was a cleaning rota in place and extra cleaning had been implemented in response to the COVID-19 pandemic.

There was a sink available in the dispensary with hot and cold running water with hand sanitiser to allow for hand washing. Medicines were organised in a generic and alphabetical manner. There was also a carousel that was used to store medicines. The consultation room was spacious and well soundproofed. The ambient temperature and lighting throughout the pharmacy was appropriate for the delivery of pharmaceutical services.

Principle 4 - Services ✓ Standards met

Summary findings

The pharmacy's services are accessible, effectively managed and delivered safely. The pharmacy team helps people manage their high-risk medicines well. The pharmacy obtains, stores and manages medicines safely and ensures that all of the medicines it supplies are fit for purpose. The pharmacy team takes appropriate action where a medicine is not fit for purpose.

Inspector's evidence

Information about the services provided was detailed in posters and leaflets around the pharmacy. Access to the pharmacy was step free. There was adequate seating for patients and customers who were waiting for services. There was sufficient space for wheelchair and pushchair users. There was a hearing loop for people with hearing difficulties. Large label printing was available for people with sight difficulties. The pharmacist reported that the pharmacy had received more prescriptions recently because other local pharmacies in the area had been closing. They had a strategy in place where they would prioritise the most urgent prescriptions first. Due to staffing issues, they were around two days behind on their dispensing activity at the time of the inspection. If necessary, a relief pharmacist would attend the pharmacy on a Sunday to catch up with any dispensing that was remaining from the previous week.

The pharmacy team dispensed multi-compartment compliance packs for 127 patients in their own homes and for 14 care homes. These were organised using four-weekly cycle and audit trails were kept to demonstrate where each tray was in the dispensing process. Information sheets were kept on each patient so the pharmacy team could accurately record any changes to their medicines. One compliance pack was examined and an audit trail to demonstrate who dispensed and checked the compliance pack was complete. Descriptions were routinely provided for the medicines contained within the compliance pack. Patient information leaflets (PILs) were regularly supplied.

The pharmacy team had an awareness of the strengthened warnings and measures to prevent valproate exposure during pregnancy. Valproate patient cards were available for use during valproate dispensing to female patients. The pharmacist reported that she would check that that the patient's prescriber had discussed the risks of exposure in pregnancy with them and that they were aware of these and query if they had effective contraception in place.

The pharmacy used recognised wholesalers such as AAH, Alliance Healthcare and Phoenix to obtain medicines and medical devices. Specials were ordered via BCM specials. Invoices from some of these wholesalers were seen. Destruction kits for the destruction of controlled drugs were available. Designated waste bins were available and being used for out-of-date medicines. A bin for the disposal of hazardous waste was not available for use during the inspection and the pharmacy team agreed to address this. Waste was collected regularly and the pharmacy team explained they would contact the contractors if they required more frequent waste collection.

Medicines and medical devices were stored in an organised fashion within their original manufacturer's packaging. Pharmaceutical stock was subject to date checks which were documented and up to date. Short-dated products were appropriately marked.

The fridges were in good working order and the stock inside was stored in an orderly manner. MHRA alerts came to the pharmacy electronically and the pharmacist explained that these were actioned appropriately. Records were kept to verify this.				

Principle 5 - Equipment and facilities ✓ Standards met

Summary findings

The pharmacy has access to the appropriate equipment and facilities needed to provide the services it offers. These are used in a way that helps protect patient confidentiality and dignity.

Inspector's evidence

There was a satisfactory range of crown stamped measures available for use. Separate crown stamped measures were used for methadone dispensing. Amber medicines bottles were capped when stored. A counting triangle and a cytotoxic counting triangle were available for use. Electrical equipment appeared to be in good working order and was PAT tested annually. Pharmacy equipment was seen to be stored securely from public access.

Up-to-date reference sources were available in the dispensary and the consultation room, including a BNF, a BNF for Children and a Drug Tariff. Internet access was also available should the staff require further information sources.

There were two fridges in use which were in good working order. The maximum and minimum temperatures were recorded daily and were seen to be within the correct range. Designated bins for storing waste medicines were available for use and there was enough space to store medicines. The computers were all password protected and patient information was safeguarded.

What do the summary findings for each principle mean?

Finding	Meaning	
✓ Excellent practice	The pharmacy demonstrates innovation in the way it delivers pharmacy services which benefit the health needs of the local community, as well as performing well against the standards.	
✓ Good practice	The pharmacy performs well against most of the standards and can demonstrate positive outcomes for patients from the way it delivers pharmacy services.	
✓ Standards met	The pharmacy meets all the standards.	
Standards not all met	The pharmacy has not met one or more standards.	