

Registered pharmacy inspection report

Pharmacy Name: Holmes Pharmacy, 10 The Parade, Coulsdon Road,
Old Coulsdon, COULSDON, Surrey, CR5 1EH

Pharmacy reference: 1036486

Type of pharmacy: Community

Date of inspection: 20/09/2023

Pharmacy context

This NHS community pharmacy is set on a small row of shops in Old Coulsdon. The pharmacy is part of a chain of pharmacies. It opens six days a week. It sells medicines over the counter. It dispenses people's prescriptions. And it delivers medicines to people who have difficulty in leaving their homes. The pharmacy supplies multi-compartment compliance packs (compliance packs) to some people who need help managing their medicines. It delivers the Community Pharmacist Consultation Service (CPCS) to help people who have a minor illness or need an urgent supply of a medicine. And its team can check a person's blood pressure.

Overall inspection outcome

✓ Standards met

Required Action: None

Follow this link to [find out what the inspections possible outcomes mean](#)

Summary of notable practice for each principle

Principle	Principle finding	Exception standard reference	Notable practice	Why
1. Governance	Standards met	N/A	N/A	N/A
2. Staff	Standards met	N/A	N/A	N/A
3. Premises	Standards met	N/A	N/A	N/A
4. Services, including medicines management	Standards met	N/A	N/A	N/A
5. Equipment and facilities	Standards met	N/A	N/A	N/A

Principle 1 - Governance ✓ Standards met

Summary findings

The pharmacy manages its risks appropriately. It has written instructions to help its team members work safely. It mostly keeps the records it needs to by law. It has the insurance it needs to protect people if things do go wrong. And people can share their experiences of using the pharmacy and its services to help it do things better. People who work in the pharmacy review the mistakes they make to try and stop the same sort of things happening again. They can explain what they do, what they are responsible for and when they might seek help. They usually keep people's private information safe. And they understand their role in protecting vulnerable people.

Inspector's evidence

The pharmacy had written instructions for its team to follow if it needed to close, for example, in an emergency. This told its team members what they should do to make sure people could access the care they needed if the pharmacy was closed. The pharmacy had a plastic screen on its counter to help reduce the spread of airborne infections such as coronavirus. And hand sanitising gel was also available for people to use. The pharmacy had standard operating procedures (SOPs) for the services it provided. And these were reviewed by a team at the pharmacy's head office. Members of the pharmacy team were required to read and sign the SOPs to show they understood them and agreed to follow them. They knew what they could and couldn't do, what they were responsible for and when they might seek help. And a notice in the pharmacy told people who the responsible pharmacist (RP) was at that time. A team member explained that they couldn't hand out prescriptions or sell medicines if a pharmacist wasn't present. And they would refer repeated requests for the same or similar products, such as medicines liable to abuse, misuse or overuse, to the pharmacist.

The team members who were responsible for making up people's prescriptions tried to keep the dispensing workstations tidy. They used baskets to separate each person's prescription and medication. They referred to prescriptions when labelling and picking medicines. They initialled each dispensing label. And assembled prescriptions were not handed out unless their clinical suitability had been assessed by a pharmacist and they had been checked by an appropriately trained checker who also initialled the dispensing label.

The pharmacy had processes to deal with the dispensing mistakes that were found before reaching a person (near misses) and those which weren't (dispensing errors). Members of the pharmacy team highlighted look-alike and sound-alike drugs to help reduce the risks of them picking the wrong product. They discussed, reviewed and recorded the mistakes they made to learn from them, and help them stop the same sort of things happening again. And, for example, they reviewed and strengthened their process for dealing with part-dispensed prescriptions following an incident involving a medicine that hadn't been ordered when it should have been.

People have left online reviews about their experiences of using the pharmacy and its services. The pharmacy had a complaints procedure. And it had a notice that told people how they could provide feedback about the pharmacy or its team. People could share their views and make suggestions to the pharmacy team about how the pharmacy could do things better. And, for example, the pharmacy team tried to order a person's preferred make of a prescription medicine when it was asked to do so.

The pharmacy had appropriate insurance arrangements in place, including professional indemnity, for the services it provided. It had a controlled drug (CD) register. And the stock levels recorded in the register weren't checked regularly. But the details of where a CD came from weren't always completed in full. The pharmacy kept adequate records for the supplies of the unlicensed medicinal products it made. And it had appropriate records to show which pharmacist was the RP and when. The pharmacy team was required to record the emergency supplies it made and the private prescriptions it supplied. And a sample of these were looked at during the inspection and were generally found to be in order.

People using the pharmacy couldn't see other people's personal information. The company that owned the pharmacy was registered with the Information Commissioner's Office. The pharmacy displayed a notice that told people how their personal information was gathered, used and shared by the pharmacy and its team. It had arrangements to make sure confidential information was stored and disposed of securely. But people's details weren't always obliterated or removed from the unwanted medicines returned to it before being disposed of.

The pharmacy had policies on safeguarding and data security and protection. And its team was required to complete training on these. Members of the pharmacy team knew what to do or who they would make aware if they had a concern about the safety of a child or a vulnerable person. And the pharmacy's consulting room could be used by someone as a 'safe space' if they felt they were in danger.

Principle 2 - Staffing ✓ Standards met

Summary findings

The pharmacy has enough team members to deliver safe and effective care. Members of the pharmacy team do the right training for their roles. They work well together and use their judgement to make decisions about what is right for the people they care for. They're comfortable about giving feedback on how to improve the pharmacy's services. They know how to raise a concern if they have one. And their professional judgement and patient safety are not affected by targets.

Inspector's evidence

The pharmacy team consisted of a pharmacist manager (the RP), a trainee pharmacy technician, six dispensing assistants, five medicines counter assistants (MCAs) and two delivery drivers. The RP, the trainee pharmacy technician, four dispensing assistants and a MCA were working at the time of the inspection. The pharmacy relied upon its team and locum pharmacists to cover absences. But team members from another branch could provide cover in exceptional circumstances too.

Members of the pharmacy team worked well together. So, people were served promptly, and their prescriptions were processed safely. The RP supervised and oversaw the supply of medicines and advice given by the pharmacy team. A team member described the questions they would ask when making over-the-counter recommendations. They explained that they would refer requests for treatments for animals, babies or young children, people who were pregnant or breastfeeding and people with long-term health conditions to the pharmacist on duty.

People working at the pharmacy needed to complete mandatory training during their employment. They had each completed accredited training relevant to their role. And, for example, the trainee pharmacy technician was also a trained accuracy checking dispensing assistant. Team members discussed their performance and development needs with their line manager when they could. They were kept up to date and could share learning from the mistakes they made during one-to-one meetings or team huddles. And they were encouraged to complete training when the pharmacy wasn't busy to make sure their knowledge was up to date. But the pharmacy could do more to make sure the RP got time to train when they were at work especially when the training allowed the pharmacy to deliver a particular service.

Members of the pharmacy team didn't feel the incentives or the targets set for the pharmacy stopped them from making decisions that kept people safe. They were comfortable about making suggestions on how to improve the pharmacy and its services. They knew the pharmacy had a whistleblowing policy and who they should raise a concern with if they had one. And their feedback led them to double-check the quantity of a particular medication before supplying it.

Principle 3 - Premises ✓ Standards met

Summary findings

The pharmacy provides a suitable environment to deliver its services from. Its premises are clean and tidy. And people can receive services in private when they need to.

Inspector's evidence

The pharmacy was air-conditioned, bright and clean. And its public-facing area was professionally presented. The pharmacy generally had the workbench and storage space it needed for its current workload. It had a consulting room for the services it offered that required one. Or if someone needed to speak to a team member in private. People's conversations in the consulting room couldn't be overheard outside of it. The consulting room could be locked when it wasn't being used to make sure its contents were kept secure. The pharmacy had some sinks and a supply of hot and cold water. And its team members cleaned the pharmacy as often as they could when it wasn't busy.

Principle 4 - Services ✓ Standards met

Summary findings

The pharmacy has working practices that are safe and effective. And its team is friendly and helps people access the services they need. Members of the pharmacy team dispose of people's unwanted medicines properly. And they carry out checks to make sure the pharmacy's medicines are safe and fit for purpose. The pharmacy delivers prescription medicines to people's homes and keeps records to show that it has delivered the right medicine to the right person. It gets its medicines from reputable sources. And it stores them appropriately and securely.

Inspector's evidence

The pharmacy didn't have an automated door. But its entrance was level with the outside pavement. And members of the pharmacy team helped people who couldn't open the door easily, such as someone with a pushchair or a wheelchair, access the building. The pharmacy had a seating area people could use if they wanted to wait in the pharmacy. The pharmacy team asked people who were prescribed new medicines if they wanted to speak to the pharmacist about their medication. The pharmacy dealt with CPCS referrals. People benefited from the CPCS as they could access the advice and medication they needed when they needed to. And this helped to reduce pressure on local GP surgeries to deal with people's urgent requests for medicines or treatments for minor illnesses. The pharmacy team was getting ready to deliver a flu vaccination service. And the RP was trained to provide the Pharmacy Contraception Service. Members of the pharmacy team were friendly. They took the time to listen to people. So, they could help and advise them. And they signposted people to another provider if a service wasn't available at the pharmacy.

The pharmacy offered a delivery service to people who couldn't attend its premises in person. It kept a record for each delivery. And this showed it had delivered the right medicine to the right person. The pharmacy used a disposable and tamper-evident system for people who received their medicines in compliance packs. And its team assessed whether a person needed a compliance pack. The pharmacy kept an audit trail of the person who had assembled and checked each prescription. And patient information leaflets and a brief description of each medicine contained within the compliance pack were usually provided. So, people had the information they needed to take their medicines safely.

The pharmacy used clear bags for dispensed CDs and refrigerated lines to allow the pharmacy team member handing over the medication and the person collecting the prescription to see what was being supplied and query any items. The pharmacy team marked prescriptions to highlight when a pharmacist needed to speak to the person about the medication they were collecting or if other items, such as a CD or a refrigerated product, needed to be added. And assembled CD prescriptions awaiting collection were generally marked with the date the 28-day legal limit would be reached to help make sure supplies were made lawfully. Members of the pharmacy team knew that women or girls able to have children mustn't take a valproate unless there was a pregnancy prevention programme in place. They knew that people in this at-risk group who were prescribed a valproate needed to be counselled on its contraindications. And they had the resources they needed when they dispensed a valproate.

The pharmacy used recognised wholesalers to obtain its pharmaceutical stock. And it kept most of its medicines and medical devices within their original manufacturer's packaging. Members of the pharmacy team marked products which were soon to expire. And they checked the expiry dates of

medicines as they dispensed them and at regular intervals which they were required to record to show they had done so. But they could do more to make sure containers of liquid medicines were marked with the date they opened them.

The pharmacy stored its stock, which needed to be refrigerated, at an appropriate temperature. And it also stored its CDs, which weren't exempt from safe custody requirements, securely. The pharmacy had procedures for handling the unwanted medicines people brought back to it. And these medicines were kept separate from the pharmacy's stock and were placed in an appropriate pharmaceutical waste bin.

The pharmacy had a process for dealing with the alerts and recalls about medicines and medical devices issued by the Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory Agency (MHRA). And, for example, the pharmacy team had removed and returned pholcodine-containing cough and cold medicines following the receipt of an MHRA medicines recall. One of the team members described the actions they took and showed what records they made when they received an MHRA medicines recall.

Principle 5 - Equipment and facilities ✓ Standards met

Summary findings

The pharmacy has the equipment and the facilities it needs to provide its services safely. It uses its equipment to make sure people's personal information is kept secure. And its team makes sure the equipment it uses is clean.

Inspector's evidence

The pharmacy had a range of glass measures to measure out liquids. And it had equipment for counting loose tablets and capsules too. Members of the pharmacy team cleaned the equipment they used to measure out, or count, medicines before they used it. The pharmacy team had access to up-to-date reference sources. And it could contact its head office or the National Pharmacy Association to ask for information and guidance. The pharmacy had two medical refrigerators to store pharmaceutical stock requiring refrigeration. And its team checked and recorded each refrigerator's maximum and minimum temperatures on the days the pharmacy was open.

Members of the pharmacy team could check a person's blood pressure when asked. And the monitor they used was relatively new. The pharmacy restricted access to its computers and patient medication record system. And only authorised team members could use them when they put in their password. The pharmacy positioned its computer screens so they could only be seen by a member of the pharmacy team. And its team members made sure their NHS smartcards were stored securely when they weren't working.

What do the summary findings for each principle mean?

Finding	Meaning
✓ Excellent practice	The pharmacy demonstrates innovation in the way it delivers pharmacy services which benefit the health needs of the local community, as well as performing well against the standards.
✓ Good practice	The pharmacy performs well against most of the standards and can demonstrate positive outcomes for patients from the way it delivers pharmacy services.
✓ Standards met	The pharmacy meets all the standards.
Standards not all met	The pharmacy has not met one or more standards.