

Registered pharmacy inspection report

Pharmacy Name: Jhoots Pharmacy, Blackbrook Surgery, Lisieux Way,
TAUNTON, Somerset, TA1 2LB

Pharmacy reference: 1036356

Type of pharmacy: Community

Date of inspection: 13/03/2020

Pharmacy context

The pharmacy is located next to a GP practice in Taunton. It sells over-the-counter medicines and dispenses NHS and private prescriptions. And it delivers medicines to people's homes. The pharmacy team offers advice to people about minor illnesses and long-term conditions. The pharmacy offers services including Medicines Use Reviews (MURs), the NHS New Medicine Service (NMS), the supply of emergency hormonal contraception and flu vaccinations. The pharmacy offers services for drug misusers. It also supplies medicines in multi-compartment compliance aids to people living in their own homes.

Overall inspection outcome

✓ Standards met

Required Action: None

Follow this link to [find out what the inspections possible outcomes mean](#)

Summary of notable practice for each principle

Principle	Principle finding	Exception standard reference	Notable practice	Why
1. Governance	Standards met	N/A	N/A	N/A
2. Staff	Standards met	N/A	N/A	N/A
3. Premises	Standards met	N/A	N/A	N/A
4. Services, including medicines management	Standards met	N/A	N/A	N/A
5. Equipment and facilities	Standards met	N/A	N/A	N/A

Principle 1 - Governance ✓ Standards met

Summary findings

The pharmacy identifies and manages its risks appropriately. Team members record their errors and review them regularly to identify the cause of errors. The pharmacy team then makes the necessary changes to stop mistakes from happening again. The pharmacy has written procedures in place to help ensure that its team members work safely. The pharmacy asks people for their views and acts appropriately on the feedback. It has adequate insurance to cover its services. And it keeps all of the records required by law. It keeps people's private information safe and explains how it will be used. Pharmacy team members know how to protect the safety of vulnerable people.

Inspector's evidence

The pharmacy had adequate processes in place to manage and reduce its risks. Near miss dispensing errors were routinely recorded. Entries in the near miss log contained a reflection on why the error occurred and actions taken to prevent a reoccurrence. Dispensing incidents were reported using the National Reporting and Learning System and were sent to the superintendent pharmacist. These errors were analysed in greater detail. Notes were placed on the patient medication record (PMR) of the person affected to reduce the risk of the error happening again. The pharmacy team regularly discussed ways to reduce errors and took appropriate actions to improve the safety of its services. For example, look-alike sound-alike drugs were clearly separated.

Standard operating procedures (SOPs) were up to date and had been recently reviewed. They reflected how the pharmacy team worked. The SOPs were signed by the appropriate staff. A dispenser could describe the activities that could not be undertaken in the absence of the RP. Staff had clear lines of accountabilities and were clear on their job role.

Feedback was obtained by a yearly community pharmacy patient questionnaire (CPPQ) survey, although this had not yet been completed this year. A complaints procedure was in place and was displayed in the retail area. Public liability and professional indemnity insurance was in place.

Records of the responsible pharmacist were maintained appropriately and the correct RP certificate was displayed. Controlled drug (CD) registers were maintained and were in order. Balance checks were completed regularly. A random balance check was accurate. Patient returned CDs were recorded in a separate register and were destroyed promptly. Records of private prescriptions were made in a book and were in order. Emergency supplies were also recorded and contained the nature of the emergency. Specials records were maintained and certificates of conformity were stored with all required details completed.

All staff had completed training on information governance and general data protection regulations and had signed the associated policies. Patient data and confidential waste was dealt with in a secure manner to protect privacy and no confidential information was visible from customer areas. A privacy policy and a fair data use statement were displayed in the patient area. Smart cards were used appropriately. Verbal consent was obtained before summary care records were accessed, and a record of access was made on the person's PMR.

All staff were trained to an appropriate level on safeguarding. The two pharmacists on duty during the

inspection had completed the Centre for Postgraduate Pharmacy Education (CPPE) level 2 safeguarding training. A safeguarding policy was in place and signed by staff and local contacts for referrals were available. Staff were aware of signs of concerns requiring escalation. Team members described several examples of when they had appropriately raised concerns about people using the pharmacy.

Principle 2 - Staffing ✓ Standards met

Summary findings

The pharmacy has enough staff to manage its workload. Team members receive time in work to complete training for their roles. They are confident to suggest and make changes to the way they work to improve their services. They communicate well and give each other feedback on their performance.

Inspector's evidence

Staffing was adequate on the day of the inspection consisted of the RP, two NVQ2 trained dispensers and a trainee medicines counter assistant. The team clearly had a good rapport and felt they could comfortably manage the workload with no undue stress and pressure. Pharmacy team members had clearly defined roles and accountabilities and tasks were allocated to individuals daily. They worked regular days and hours. Absences were usually covered by rearranging shifts or by part-time staff increasing their hours.

Team members were given time during working hours to learn. Training records were seen and were up to date. The trainee MCA had started working in the pharmacy the previous week and had not yet been enrolled on an approved training course. She referred to the RP when people requested pharmacy medicines or advice. Other team members were seen to provide appropriate advice when selling medicines over the counter. They referred to the RP for additional information as needed.

The pharmacy team gave each other regular ad hoc feedback and there was a clear culture of openness and honesty. The team felt confident to discuss concerns and give feedback to the RP, who they found to be receptive to ideas and suggestions. A dispenser reported that they were able to make suggestions for change to improve efficiency and safety. Team members were aware of the internal escalation process for concerns and a whistleblowing policy was in place.

The RP said that there were no formal targets set. She felt able to use her professional judgement to make decisions and described that all services undertaken were clinically appropriate. She felt well supported by the superintendent pharmacist and the owner, both of whom visited the pharmacy regularly.

Principle 3 - Premises ✓ Standards met

Summary findings

The pharmacy provides a safe, secure and professional environment for people to receive healthcare. The pharmacy has a soundproofed room where people can have private conversations with members of the pharmacy team. The pharmacy could do more to prevent unauthorised access.

Inspector's evidence

The pharmacy was located adjacent to a GP practice in Taunton. There was a large, well-presented retail area which led to a large dispensary. The pharmacy had a consultation room that was clearly advertised. It was of an adequate size and was soundproofed to allow conversations to take place in private. It was secured when not in use. No confidential information or clinical waste was stored in the consultation room.

The dispensary was well organised and there was plenty of bench space. Stock was stored neatly on shelves. To the rear of the dispensary were a staff room, an office and a stock room. The fixtures and fittings were well maintained. Maintenance issues were resolved promptly.

Prescriptions awaiting collection were stored using a retrieval system and confidential information was not visible to waiting customers. Lighting was appropriate and the temperature was satisfactory for the provision of healthcare and the storage of medicines. The pharmacy was cleaned each day by team members and it was clean and tidy on the day of the inspection.

Principle 4 - Services ✓ Standards met

Summary findings

The pharmacy is accessible and advertises its services appropriately. Medicines are supplied safely. The pharmacy offers a range of additional services and the pharmacy team delivers these services safely, ensuring that their training is up to date. The pharmacy obtains its medicines from reputable suppliers. It stores them securely and makes regular checks to ensure that they are still suitable for supply. The pharmacy delivers medicines to people safely and keeps appropriate records of this. The pharmacy accepts unwanted medicines and disposes of them appropriately.

Inspector's evidence

The pharmacy had step-free access and an automatic door. The consultation room was wheelchair accessible. Adjustments could be made for people with disabilities, such as producing large print labels. Team members described that they communicated with people with hearing impairments in the consultation room, using pen and paper if needed. A range of health-related posters and leaflets were displayed and advertised details of services offered both in store and locally. Services provided by the pharmacy were advertised in the pharmacy. The RP was accredited to provide all of the promoted services. She explained that if a patient requested a service not offered by the pharmacy at the time, she referred them to other nearby pharmacies or providers, calling ahead to ensure the service could be provided there. Up-to-date signposting resources and details of local support agencies were accessed online. Records of signposting referrals were made on the person's PMR.

Dispensing baskets were used to store prescriptions and medicines to prevent transfer between patients as well as to organise the workload. There were designated areas to dispense walk-in prescriptions and those collected from the GP practice. The labels of dispensed items were initialled when dispensed and checked.

The pharmacy used stickers to identify prescriptions that contained CDs, fridge items and high-risk medicines. The RP ensured they spoke to anyone receiving high-risk medicines to ensure relevant blood tests had been completed and to check that doses were correct. Details of counselling and significant interventions were routinely recorded on the PMR. Substance misuse services were provided for around 20 people. The RP described that she would liaise with the prescriber or the key worker to report erratic pick-ups and to discuss any other concerns about users of the service.

The pharmacy offered a range of additional services including flu vaccinations. The patient group directions covering these services were seen and had been signed by the RP and she had a current declaration of competence. The RP had completed training on injection techniques and anaphylaxis and resuscitation within the last two years. The pharmacy was a Healthy Living Pharmacy and provided additional advice to people on living healthy lifestyles. It had an eye-catching health promotion zone displaying leaflets and information on both locally and nationally relevant topics.

The pharmacy had completed the audit of people at risk of becoming pregnant whilst taking sodium valproate as part of the Pregnancy Prevention Programme (PPP). Appropriate conversations had been had with affected people and records were made on the PMR. The pharmacy had stickers for staff to apply to valproate medicines dispensed out of original containers to highlight the risks of pregnancy to women receiving prescriptions for valproate. The pharmacy had the information booklets and cards to

give to eligible women. Notes were placed on the PMR of women receiving valproate to confirm a discussion about PPP had taken place.

Multi-compartment compliance aids were prepared by the pharmacy for approximately 12 people based in the community. A further 25 compliance aids were prepared off-site in another branch of the chain. These were then delivered back to the branch to be collected or delivered. A sample of compliance aids was inspected. Each compliance aid had an identifier on the front, and dispensed and checked signatures were completed, along with a description of tablets. Patient information leaflets (PILs) were supplied each month. 'When required' medicines were dispensed in boxes and a dispenser was aware of what could and could not be placed in trays. A record of any changes made was kept on the patient information sheet, which was available for the pharmacist during the clinical checking process.

The dispensary shelves used to store stock were organised and tidy. The stock was generally arranged alphabetically. Date checking was usually undertaken regularly and records were kept. Spot checks revealed no date-expired medicines or mixed batches. The pharmacy had the hardware and software to be compliant with the Falsified Medicines Directive (FMD). Pharmacy team members were appropriate making visual checks but were not currently scanning FMD compliant packs. Prescriptions containing omissions were appropriately managed, and the prescription was kept with the balance until it was collected. Stock was obtained from reputable sources including Alliance and AAH. Records of recalls and alerts were received by email and were annotated with the outcome and the date actioned.

The fridge in the dispensary was clean, tidy and well organised. Records of temperatures were maintained. The maximum and minimum temperatures were within the required range of 2 to 8 degrees Celsius. Denaturing kits were available for safe destruction of CDs. Patient returned CDs were recorded in a register and destroyed with a witness with two signatures were recorded.

Logs were kept of deliveries made to people in their own homes with appropriate signatures. Confidentiality was maintained when obtaining signatures. The delivery driver described the process followed in the event of failed deliveries to ensure that patients received their delivery in a timely manner, particularly those considered to be vulnerable, and this was found to be adequate.

Patient returned medication was dealt with appropriately. A hazardous waste bin was usually available but it had not been replaced at the last collection. Patient details were removed from returned medicines to protect people's confidentiality.

Principle 5 - Equipment and facilities ✓ Standards met

Summary findings

The pharmacy uses appropriate equipment and facilities to provide its services. It keeps these clean and tidy. The pharmacy uses its equipment in a way that protects people's private information.

Inspector's evidence

Crown-stamped measures were available for liquids, with several measures marked for the use of CDs only. A range of clean tablet and capsule counters were present, with a separate triangle reserved for cytotoxics. All equipment, including the dispensary fridges, was in good working order and PAT test stickers were visible. The dispensary sink was clean and in good working order.

Reference sources were available and the pharmacy could also access up-to-date information on the internet. Computers were positioned so that no information could be seen by members of the public and phone calls were taken away from public areas. Dispensed prescriptions were stored in a retrieval system on shelves with no details visible to people waiting.

What do the summary findings for each principle mean?

Finding	Meaning
✓ Excellent practice	The pharmacy demonstrates innovation in the way it delivers pharmacy services which benefit the health needs of the local community, as well as performing well against the standards.
✓ Good practice	The pharmacy performs well against most of the standards and can demonstrate positive outcomes for patients from the way it delivers pharmacy services.
✓ Standards met	The pharmacy meets all the standards.
Standards not all met	The pharmacy has not met one or more standards.