General Pharmaceutical Council

Registered pharmacy inspection report

Pharmacy Name: Boots, 6 East Street, ILMINSTER, Somerset, TA19

0AJ

Pharmacy reference: 1036327

Type of pharmacy: Community

Date of inspection: 07/10/2019

Pharmacy context

The pharmacy is located in the town centre of Ilminster. It sells over-the-counter medicines and dispenses NHS and private prescriptions. And it delivers medicines to people's homes. The pharmacy team offers advice to people about minor illnesses and long-term conditions. The pharmacy offers services including Medicines Use Reviews (MURs), the NHS New Medicines Service (NMS), a minor ailments scheme and the supply of emergency hormonal contraception. The pharmacy supplies medicines in multi-compartment compliance aids to people living in their own homes.

Overall inspection outcome

✓ Standards met

Required Action: None

Follow this link to find out what the inspections possible outcomes mean

Summary of notable practice for each principle

Principle	Principle finding	Exception standard reference	Notable practice	Why
1. Governance	Standards met	N/A	N/A	N/A
2. Staff	Standards met	N/A	N/A	N/A
3. Premises	Standards met	N/A	N/A	N/A
4. Services, including medicines management	Standards met	N/A	N/A	N/A
5. Equipment and facilities	Standards met	N/A	N/A	N/A

Principle 1 - Governance ✓ Standards met

Summary findings

The pharmacy identifies and manages risks appropriately. It reviews its practices to make them safer and more effective. The pharmacy has written procedures to show how all tasks should be completed. And all team members follow them. Team members are clear about their roles and responsibilities. The pharmacy asks people for their views and acts appropriately on the feedback. It has adequate insurance for its services. The pharmacy keeps up-to-date records as required by the law. The pharmacy keeps people's private information safe and explains how it will be used. Pharmacy team members know how to protect the safety of vulnerable people.

Inspector's evidence

The pharmacy had good processes in place to monitor and reduce risks. Near misses were routinely recorded on a paper log and contained details of the error and a brief reflection on the cause and the learning points. Dispensing incidents were recorded on the pharmacy incident and error reporting system (PIERs). When errors were identified, they were discussed as a team to identify the potential contributing factors. Shelf-edge alerts had been placed at the locations of selected drugs, including amitriptyline and amlodipine, as part of the company's 'look-alike, sound-alike' (LASA) campaign. Laminated signs were displayed on computer terminals listing the fourteen drugs highlighted as high risk by the superintendent's office. All staff were briefed to say the name of LASA drugs out loud when picking to try and reduce errors. The pharmacy had recently added LASA alerts to the locations of pregabalin and gabapentin following company-wide incidents. The team used the 'Pharmacist Information Forms' (PIFs) that were attached to all prescriptions to alert the pharmacist to these drugs and the strength dispensed, along with any other clinically relevant information.

Monthly patient safety reports were completed by team members which contained a review of all near misses and dispensing incidents and led to the generation of action plans to reduce errors. The action plans generated through the patient safety report were shared with all team members through a team huddle and through individual briefings. The regular RP ensured that the action plans in each dispensary were shared with all staff. The most recent action plan had encouraged staff to check the doses prescribed on prescriptions for paediatric medicines. This had been implemented following the identification of a prescribing error, which had been picked up by the pharmacist. The pharmacy team received and reviewed the monthly professional standard document supplied by the company's head office. A locally produced clinical governance document was also reviewed which outlined common themes across the region.

Standard operating procedures (SOPs) were in place to cover all activities carried out in the pharmacy. They were up to date and were regularly reviewed. They had been adopted by the regular RP. Team members had signed the SOPs to show that they had read and understood them. Roles and responsibilities of the pharmacy team members were detailed in the RP SOPs. A pharmacy advisor could describe the activities that could not be undertaken in the absence of the RP.

Feedback was obtained by a yearly Community Pharmacy Patient Questionnaire (CPPQ) survey, and by handing customers cards inviting them to complete an online survey. 83.7% of people completing the most recent CPPQ survey had rated the service provided by the pharmacy as very good or excellent. A complaints procedure was available in the practice leaflet which was displayed in the retail area.

Following comments about medicines being out of stock, team members now ensured that they were keeping people updated on the progress of orders, particularly for items in short supply.

Professional indemnity and public liability insurances were provided by the XL Insurance Company SE with an expiry of 31 July 2020.

RP records were maintained in a log and the correct RP certificate was displayed. Records of emergency supplies and private prescriptions were held on the patient medication record (PMR) system and were in order. Records of the supply of unlicensed specials medicines were kept and certificates of conformity contained the details of to whom the product had been supplied. Controlled drug (CD) registers were maintained as required by law. Balance checks were completed weekly. A random stock balance check of Sevredol 10mg tablets was accurate. Patient returns were recorded in a separate register and were destroyed promptly, and records were kept with two signatures.

All staff had completed training on information governance and the General Data Protection Regulation. Patient data and confidential waste was dealt with in a secure manner to protect privacy. A privacy policy and a fair data use statement were displayed in the patient area and confidential waste was segregated appropriately. Verbal consent was obtained from patients prior to accessing their summary care record and a note was placed on the PMR stating the reason for access. NHS Smartcards were used appropriately.

All staff were trained to an appropriate level on safeguarding. The RP had completed the Centre for Pharmacy Postgraduate Education (CPPE) level 2 safeguarding training. The remaining staff had completed level 1 e-Learning provided by the company. Local contacts for the escalation of concerns were displayed on the wall of the main dispensary. Staff were aware of the signs requiring referral and gave examples of when they had made appropriate referrals.

Principle 2 - Staffing ✓ Standards met

Summary findings

The pharmacy has enough staff to manage its workload. Team members receive training for their roles. They keep their skills and knowledge up to date and are supported in their development. Team members suggest and make changes to improve their services. They communicate well with each other.

Inspector's evidence

Staffing levels were adequate on the day of the inspection. In addition to the RP, who was a locum, there were three NVQ2 trained pharmacy advisors. The team had a good rapport and felt they could manage the workload with no undue stress and pressure. The staff had clearly defined roles and accountabilities, and tasks and responsibilities were allocated to individuals on a daily basis. Rotas were completed in advance to plan for absences, which were usually covered rearranging shifts, or by part-time staff increasing their hours. In an emergency, the manager would call on support from other local stores.

The pharmacy team reported that they were allocated protected time to learn during working hours, although some chose to complete their learning at home. Resources accessed included the 30-minute tutors supplied by the company, e-Learning and CPPE packages and revised SOPs. Staff were set yearly development plans and received regular ad-hoc feedback on their performance. Staff were seen to offer appropriate advice when selling medicines over the counter and were observed referring to the pharmacist when additional information was required.

The staff felt able to raise concerns and give feedback to the store manager and the regular RP, both of whom they found to be receptive to ideas and suggestions. Team members were aware of the escalation process for concerns and a whistleblowing policy was in place. A dispenser described that she felt supported by the store manager and the stores in the wider area. The RP said that he was not set targets. He was able to use his professional judgement to make decisions. He would only undertake services such as MURs that were clinically appropriate.

Principle 3 - Premises ✓ Standards met

Summary findings

The pharmacy provides a safe, secure and professional environment for people to receive healthcare. The pharmacy has a soundproofed room where people can have private conversations with members of the pharmacy team. The pharmacy is adequately secured to prevent unauthorised access.

Inspector's evidence

The pharmacy was located in the town centre of Ilminster. A healthcare counter led through to spacious dispensary. There was a large retail area to the right of the entrance, accessed by a small flight of stairs. There was no till in the area so all sales were made through the pharmacy tills. The pharmacy team said that there were plans to have a till installed in the retail area in an upcoming refit, and this this would allow them to focus more on the preparation of prescriptions.

A large consultation room was available in the pharmacy so that people could have conversations in private. The room was soundproofed and conversations could not be overheard from outside. It was locked when not in use and no patient information was stored in the consultation room.

The dispensary was of an adequate size and was tidy and well organised. Stock was stored neatly on shelves and in pull out drawers. Cleaning was undertaken by pharmacy staff and the pharmacy was clean on the day of the inspection. The benches were clear of clutter. The pharmacy was light and bright, and temperature was appropriate for the storage and assembly of medicines.

Principle 4 - Services ✓ Standards met

Summary findings

The pharmacy is accessible and advertises its services appropriately. Medicines are supplied safely and the pharmacy gives additional advice to people receiving high-risk medicines. The pharmacy delivers medicines to people safely and keeps appropriate records of this. The pharmacy obtains its medicines from reputable suppliers. It stores them securely and regularly checks that they are still suitable for supply. The pharmacy accepts unwanted medicines and generally disposes of them appropriately.

Inspector's evidence

The pharmacy had step-free access and an automatic door. A dispenser said that if people required products from the retail area, which was not wheelchair accessible due to several steps, she would get them for them and bring to the till. The consultation room was wheelchair accessible. Adjustments could be made for people with disabilities, such as producing large print labels. A hearing loop was available. A range of health-related posters and leaflets were displayed and advertised details of services offered both in store and locally. Services provided by the pharmacy were advertised in the pharmacy and the regular RP was accredited to provide all of the promoted services. The locum RP was not accredited to provide the locally commissioned services. The RP described how if a patient requested a service not offered by the pharmacy, she would refer them to other nearby pharmacies, calling ahead to ensure the service could be provided there. A sign-posting folder was available with details of local agencies and support networks. Further up-to-date signposting resources were accessed online.

Dispensing tubs were used to store prescriptions and medicines to prevent transfer between patients as well as organise the workload. There were designated areas to dispense walk-in prescriptions and those collected from the GP practice. The labels of dispensed items were initialled when dispensed and checked.

Coloured laminates were used to highlight fridge items and CDs in schedule 2 and 3. Prescriptions for schedule 4 CDs were annotated to highlight the 28-day expiry. Prescriptions containing high-risk medicines or paediatric medicines were also highlighted with laminates. The RP described that he checked if patients receiving lithium, warfarin and methotrexate had had blood tests recently, and gave additional advice as needed. Laminates were used to highlight prescriptions that had been identified by the RP as requiring additional counselling by a pharmacist. Details of significant interventions were recorded on the patient medication record (PMR). Substance misuse services were provided for 10 people. The dispenser described how the regular pharmacist would liaise with the prescriber or the key worker to report erratic pick-ups and to discuss any other concerns about users of the service.

The pharmacy had completed the audit of people at risk of becoming pregnant whilst taking sodium valproate as part of the Valproate Pregnancy Prevention Programme. Appropriate conversations had been had with affected people and records were made on the PMR. Stickers were available for staff to highlight the risks of pregnancy to women receiving prescriptions for valproate. Information booklets and cards were available to be given to eligible women.

Multi-compartment compliance aids were prepared by the pharmacy for approximately 40 people based in the community. The pharmacy had a proforma to decide if a compliance aid was the most

appropriate solution for a person requesting it. But they had not yet had any new requests for compliance aids so had not yet used it. A sample of compliance aids was inspected. Each compliance aid had an identifier on the front, and dispensed and checked signatures were completed, along with a description of tablets. Patient information leaflets (PILs) were supplied each month. 'When required' medicines were dispensed in boxes and a pharmacy advisor was aware of what could and could not be placed in trays. A record of any changes made was kept on the patient information sheet, which was available for the pharmacist during the clinical checking process.

The dispensary shelves used to store stock were organised and tidy. The stock was arranged alphabetically. Date checking was undertaken each week and the entire dispensary was checked every 3 months. Spot checks revealed no date expired stock or mixed batches. Prescriptions containing owings were appropriately managed, and the prescription was kept with the balance until it was collected. Stock was obtained from reputable sources including Alliance and AHH. Specials were obtained from Alliance Specials. Invoices were seen to this effect. Records of recalls and alerts were seen and were annotated with the outcome and the date actioned.

Staff were aware of the Falsified Medicines Directive (FMD). They could check the anti-tampering device on each medicine was intact during the dispensing process. But they were not verifying nor decommissioning stock at the time of the inspection. The updated PMR system had the capability to be FMD compliant. The pharmacy's SOPs were being updated to reflect the changes FMD would bring to the pharmacy's processes.

CDs were stored in accordance with legal requirements in an approved cabinet. Denaturing kits were available for safe destruction of CDs. Expired CDs were clearly marked and segregated in the cabinet. Patient returned CDs were recorded in a register and destroyed with a witness with two signatures were recorded. The dispensary fridges were clean, tidy and well organised and records of temperatures were maintained. The maximum and minimum temperatures were within the required range of 2 to 8 degrees Celsius.

Logs were kept of deliveries made to people in their own homes with appropriate signatures. Confidentiality was maintained when obtaining signatures. The RP described the process followed in the event of failed deliveries to ensure that patients received their delivery in a timely manner, particularly those considered to be vulnerable, and this was found to be adequate.

Patient returned medication was dealt with appropriately. Confidential patient information was generally removed or obliterated from patient returned medication.

Principle 5 - Equipment and facilities ✓ Standards met

Summary findings

The pharmacy uses appropriate equipment and facilities to provide its services. It keeps these clean and tidy. Equipment is used in a way that protects people's private information.

Inspector's evidence

Validated crown-stamped measures were available for liquids, with separate measure marked for the use of controlled drugs only. A range of clean tablet and capsule counters were present, with a separate triangle clearly marked for cytotoxics. All equipment, including the dispensary fridge, was in good working order and PAT test stickers were visible, although the retest date had passed. The dispensary sinks were clean and in good working order.

Reference sources were available and the pharmacy could also access up-to-date information on the internet. Computers were positioned so that no information could be seen by members of the public and phone calls were taken away from public areas. Dispensed prescriptions were stored in a retrieval system on shelves with no details visible to people waiting.

What do the summary findings for each principle mean?

Finding	Meaning	
✓ Excellent practice	The pharmacy demonstrates innovation in the way it delivers pharmacy services which benefit the health needs of the local community, as well as performing well against the standards.	
✓ Good practice	The pharmacy performs well against most of the standards and can demonstrate positive outcomes for patients from the way it delivers pharmacy services.	
✓ Standards met	The pharmacy meets all the standards.	
Standards not all met	The pharmacy has not met one or more standards.	