# Registered pharmacy inspection report

Pharmacy Name: Pomfret Chemist, 182 Victoria Road, Walton Le

Dale, PRESTON, Lancashire, PR5 4AY

Pharmacy reference: 1033862

Type of pharmacy: Community

Date of inspection: 19/12/2019

## **Pharmacy context**

This is a community pharmacy next to a GP practice. It is situated in the residential area of Walton-le-Dale, south of Preston city centre. The pharmacy dispenses NHS prescriptions, private prescriptions and sells over-the-counter medicines. It also provides a range of services including seasonal flu vaccinations and a minor ailment service. The pharmacy supplies medicines in multi-compartment compliance aids for some people to help them take the medicines at the right time.

## **Overall inspection outcome**

✓ Standards met

Required Action: None

Follow this link to find out what the inspections possible outcomes mean

## Summary of notable practice for each principle

Principle	Principle finding	Exception standard reference	Notable practice	Why
1. Governance	Standards met	1.2	Good practice	Members of the pharmacy team record things that go wrong and discuss them to help identify learning and reduce the chances of similar mistakes happening again.
		1.7	Good practice	Members of the pharmacy team are given training so that they know how to keep private information safe.
2. Staff	Good practice	2.2	Good practice	Members of the pharmacy team complete regular training to help them keep their knowledge up to date.
		2.4	Good practice	Members of the pharmacy team get regular feedback from their manager and routinely discuss their work to help them improve.
3. Premises	Standards met	N/A	N/A	N/A
4. Services, including medicines management	Standards met	N/A	N/A	N/A
5. Equipment and facilities	Standards met	N/A	N/A	N/A

## Principle 1 - Governance ✓ Standards met

#### **Summary findings**

The pharmacy team follows written procedures, and this helps to maintain the safety and effectiveness of the pharmacy's services. The pharmacy keeps the records it needs to by law. And members of the team are given training so that they know how to keep private information safe. They record things that go wrong and discuss them to help identify learning and reduce the chances of similar mistakes happening again.

#### **Inspector's evidence**

There was a current set of standard operating procedures (SOPs) which were issued in February 2019, and their stated date of review was February 2021. Members of the pharmacy team had signed to say they had read and accepted the SOPs. An electronic checklist was completed to check compliance with a number of professional requirements, such as fridge temperature records and display of responsible pharmacist (RP) notice.

Dispensing errors were recorded electronically and submitted to the superintendent (SI). A recent error involved the incorrect supply of amoxicillin 250mg capsules instead of amoxicillin 500mg capsules. The pharmacist had investigated the error and shared his findings with the pharmacy team. Action had been taken to help reduce the risk of similar picking errors by separating the medicines onto different dispensary shelves. Near miss incidents were recorded electronically and the records were analysed by the head office. The pharmacist explained he would discuss the review with staff each month. The pharmacist would also highlight mistakes to staff at the point of accuracy check and ask them to rectify their own errors. He gave examples of action he had taken to help prevent mistakes, such as moving chlorpromazine and clomipramine tablets away from each other.

Roles and responsibilities of the pharmacy team were described in individual SOPs. A counter assistant was able to explain what her responsibilities were and was clear about the tasks which could or could not be conducted during the absence of a pharmacist. The responsible pharmacist (RP) had their notice displayed prominently. The pharmacy had a complaints procedure. A notice in the retail area advised people they could discuss any concerns or feedback with the pharmacy team. Any complaints would be recorded and sent to the head office to be followed up. A current certificate of professional indemnity insurance was on display.

Records for the RP, private prescriptions, emergency supplies and unlicensed specials appeared to be in order. Controlled drugs (CDs) registers were maintained with running balances recorded and checked monthly. Two random balances were checked, and both found to be accurate. Patient returned CDs were recorded in a separate register.

An information governance (IG) policy was available. The pharmacy team had completed IG training and each member of the team had signed a confidentiality agreement. When questioned, a dispenser was able to describe how confidential waste was segregated to be destroyed using the on-site shredder. A privacy notice was on display which explained how the pharmacy handled and stored people's data.

Safeguarding procedures were included in the SOPs. The pharmacy team had in-house safeguarding training, and the pharmacist said he had completed level 2 safeguarding training. Contact details of the

local safeguarding board were available. A dispenser said she would initially report any concerns to the pharmacist on duty.

## Principle 2 - Staffing Good practice

#### **Summary findings**

There are enough staff to manage the pharmacy's workload and they are appropriately trained for the jobs they do. Members of the pharmacy team complete regular training to help them keep their knowledge up to date. They get regular feedback from their manager and routinely discuss their work to help them improve.

#### **Inspector's evidence**

The pharmacy team included a pharmacist manager, two dispensers, two medicine counter assistants (MCA) and a driver. All members of the team had completed the necessary training for their roles. The normal staffing level was a pharmacist and three other staff. The volume of work appeared to be managed. Staffing levels were maintained by part-time staff and a staggered holiday system. Relief staff could also be requested from other branches if necessary.

The pharmacy provided the team with a range of training material, including e-learning packages. The training topics appeared relevant to the services provided and those completing the learning. Training records were kept showing that ongoing training was routinely completed. Staff were allowed learning time to complete training.

A counter assistant gave examples of how she would sell a pharmacy only medicine using the WWHAM questioning technique, refuse sales of medicines that were liable to abuse that she felt were inappropriate, and refer people to the pharmacist if needed. The pharmacist said he felt able to exercise his professional judgement and this was respected by the SI and the pharmacy team. The dispenser said she felt a good level of support from the pharmacist and felt able to ask for further help if she needed it.

Appraisals were conducted annually by the pharmacy manager. A dispenser said she felt that the appraisal process was a good chance to discuss her work and to identify learning. The staff held weekly huddles about issues that had arisen, including when there were errors or complaints. An electronic record was kept of topics discussed. Staff were aware of the whistleblowing policy and said that they would be comfortable reporting any concerns to the manager or SI. The pharmacist said he was set targets for services such as MURs and NMS. But he did not feel under pressure to achieve these.

## Principle 3 - Premises Standards met

#### **Summary findings**

The pharmacy premises are suitable for the services provided. A consultation room is available to enable private conversations.

#### **Inspector's evidence**

The pharmacy was clean and tidy, and appeared adequately maintained. The size of the dispensary was sufficient for the workload. A sink was available within the dispensary and access to the dispensary was restricted by the position of the counter. Customers were not able to view any patient sensitive information due to the position of the dispensary. The temperature was controlled by electric heaters. Lighting was sufficient. The staff had access to a kitchenette and WC facilities.

The consultation room was available with access restricted by use of a lock. The space was clutter free with a computer, desk, seating and adequate lighting. The patient entrance to the consultation room was clearly signposted.

## Principle 4 - Services Standards met

## **Summary findings**

The pharmacy's services are easy to access. And it manages and provides them safely. It gets its medicines from recognised sources, stores them appropriately and carries out regular checks to help make sure that they are in good condition. But members of the pharmacy team do not always know when they are handing out higher-risk medicines. So they might not always be able to check that the medicines are still suitable, or give people advice about taking them.

#### **Inspector's evidence**

Access to the pharmacy was via a single door and was suitable for wheelchair users. There was also wheelchair access to the consultation room. Various posters gave information about the services offered and information was also available on the website. Pharmacy staff were able to list and explain the services provided by the pharmacy. If the pharmacy did not provide a particular service staff were able to refer patients elsewhere using a signposting folder. The pharmacy opening hours were displayed and a range of leaflets provided information about various healthcare topics.

The pharmacy had a delivery service. Deliveries were segregated after their accuracy check and a delivery sheet was used to obtain signatures from the recipient to confirm delivery. Unsuccessful deliveries would be returned to the pharmacy and a card posted through the letterbox indicating the pharmacy had attempted a delivery. CDs were recorded on a separate delivery sheet for individual patients and a signature was obtained to confirm receipt.

The pharmacy team initialled dispensed by and checked by boxes on dispensing labels to provide an audit trail. They used dispensing baskets to separate individual patients' prescriptions to avoid items being mixed up. The baskets were colour coded to help prioritise dispensing. Owing slips were used to provide an audit trail if the full quantity could not be immediately supplied. Dispensed medicines awaiting collection were kept on a shelf using a numerical retrieval system. Prescription forms were retained, and stickers were used to clearly identify when fridge or CD safe storage items needed to be added. Staff were seen to confirm the patient's name and address when medicines were handed out.

Schedule 3 and 4 CDs were highlighted so that staff could check prescription validity at the time of supply. High-risk medicines (such as warfarin, lithium and methotrexate) were not routinely highlighted. So the pharmacy team were not always aware when they were being handed out in order to check that the supply was suitable for the patient. The staff were aware of the risks associated with the use of valproate during pregnancy. Educational material was available to hand out when the medicines were supplied. The pharmacist said he would speak to any patients who were at risk to make them aware of the pregnancy prevention programme, which would be recorded on their PMR.

Some medicines were dispensed in multi-compartment compliance aids. Before a person was started on a compliance aid the pharmacist would complete an assessment about their suitability. But this was not recorded, so the pharmacy could not show whether the assessment was appropriate. A record sheet was kept for each patient, containing details of their current medication. Any medication changes were confirmed with the GP surgery before the record sheet was amended. Hospital discharge sheets were sought, and previous records were retained for future reference. Disposable equipment was used to provide the service, and the compliance aids were labelled with medication descriptions and a dispensing check audit trail. Patient information leaflets (PILs) were routinely supplied.

The pharmacy provided a flu vaccination service using a patient group directive (PGD). A copy of the current PGD was available, and the pharmacist had completed a declaration of competence to indicate he had the necessary training. Records of vaccinations were kept, and the GP surgery was informed following a successful vaccination.

Medicines were obtained from licensed wholesalers, and any unlicensed medicines were sourced from a specials manufacturer. The pharmacy was not yet meeting the safety features of the falsified medicine directive (FMD), which is now a legal requirement. Equipment was installed but the pharmacy team had yet to commence routine checks of medicines. Stock was date checked on a 3-month basis. Data checking records were kept as a record of what had been checked, and shelving was cleaned as part of the process. Short dated stock was highlighted, and liquid medication had the date of opening written on.

Controlled drugs were stored appropriately in the CD cabinet, with clear segregation between current stock, patient returns and out of date stock. CD denaturing kits were available for use. There were clean medicines fridges, each with a thermometer. The minimum and maximum temperatures were being recorded daily and records showed they had been in range for the last 3 months. Patient returned medication was disposed of in designated bins located away from the dispensary. Drug alerts were received by email from the MHRA. The pharmacy would electronically record details about the alerts received, and the action taken.

## Principle 5 - Equipment and facilities Standards met

## **Summary findings**

Members of the pharmacy team have access to the equipment they need for the services they provide. And they maintain the equipment so that it is safe to use.

#### **Inspector's evidence**

The staff had access to the internet for general information. This included access to the BNF, BNFc and drug tariff resources. All electrical equipment appeared to be in working order. According to the stickers attached, electrical equipment had last been PAT tested in 2018. There was a selection of liquid measures with British Standard and Crown marks. Separate measures were designated and used for methadone. The pharmacy also had counting triangles for counting loose tablets including a designated tablet triangle for cytotoxic medication. Equipment was kept clean.

Computers were password protected and screens were positioned so that they weren't visible from the public areas of the pharmacy. A cordless phone was available in the pharmacy which allowed the staff to move to a private area if the phone call warranted privacy. The consultation room was used appropriately; patients were offered its use when requesting advice or when counselling was required.

Finding	Meaning	
Excellent practice	The pharmacy demonstrates innovation in the way it delivers pharmacy services which benefit the health needs of the local community, as well as performing well against the standards.	
✓ Good practice	The pharmacy performs well against most of the standards and can demonstrate positive outcomes for patients from the way it delivers pharmacy services.	
✓ Standards met	The pharmacy meets all the standards.	
Standards not all met	The pharmacy has not met one or more standards.	

## What do the summary findings for each principle mean?